



United States  
Department of  
Agriculture

Forest Service

Pacific Northwest  
Research Station

General Technical  
Report  
PNW-GTR-810  
April 2010

# Fuelwood Characteristics of Northwestern Conifers and Hardwoods (Updated)

Pamela L. Wilson, James W. Funck, and Robert B. Avery



The **Forest Service** of the U.S. Department of Agriculture is dedicated to the principle of multiple use management of the Nation's forest resources for sustained yields of wood, water, forage, wildlife, and recreation. Through forestry research, cooperation with the States and private forest owners, and management of the national forests and national grasslands, it strives—as directed by Congress—to provide increasingly greater service to a growing Nation.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W. Washington, DC 20250-9410, or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

## Authors

**Pamela L. Wilson** and **Robert B. Avery** were research assistants, and **James W. Funck** was an associate professor, Department of Forest Products, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331.

## Editors

**Daniel J. Parrent** is a wood utilization specialist, Juneau Economic Development Council, 204 Siginaka Way, Sitka, AK 99835; **James W. Funck** is a manager, Weyerhaeuser Company, P.O. Box 9777, Federal Way, WA 98063; **James Reeb** is an associate professor, Forestry and Natural Resources, Oregon State University Lincoln County Extension, Newport, OR 97365; and **Allen M. Brackley** is a research forester, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Alaska Wood Utilization Research and Development Center, 204 Siginaka Way, Sitka, AK 99835.

Research and publishing were accomplished under agreements PNW-07-JV-11261935-040, PNW-07-JV-1126935-041, and Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service grant (WUR Year 08).

# **Fuelwood Characteristics of Northwestern Conifers and Hardwoods (Updated)**

Pamela L. Wilson, James W. Funck, and Robert B. Avery

Updated, Reformatted, and Edited by:

Daniel J. Parrent, James W. Funck, James Reeb, and Allen M. Brackley

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service

Pacific Northwest Research Station

Portland, Oregon

General Technical Report PNW-GTR-810

April 2010

Published in cooperation with:

Oregon State University

## **Abstract**

**Wilson, Pamela L.; Funck, James W.; Avery, Robert B. 2010.** Fuelwood characteristics of northwestern conifers and hardwoods. Updated by Parrent, Daniel J.; Funck, James W.; Reeb, James; Brackley, Allen M., eds. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-810. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 50 p. (First published 1987, Res. Bull. 60, Forest Research Laboratory, College of Forestry, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR).

This report is an update of the original publication by Oregon State University in 1987 (Resource Bulletin 60). According to agreements, researchers at the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station and the Juneau Economic Development Council worked with Oregon State University to update this reference concerning wood energy properties. The fuelwood characteristics were reformatted and presented in tabular form, and a literature review was conducted to check for additional information published since 1987. This report provides fuelwood values for 34 conifer and 20 hardwood species found in the Pacific Northwest and Alaska. Values are presented for the following characteristics: specific gravity of wood and bark, percentage moisture content of wood and bark, higher heating value of wood and bark, percentage ash of wood and bark, percentage bark by volume, and ultimate analysis of wood and bark (percentage of carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur).

Keywords: Fuelwoods, heating value, British thermal unit values, Pacific Northwest.

## **Preface**

British thermal unit (Btu) is a standard measure of energy used in the United States to evaluate the potential of fuel. As personnel from the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Alaska Wood Utilization Research and Development Center (WUC) and Juneau Economic Development Council (JEDC) worked on projects to review renewable energy use, there was a constant need for this information. In the search to locate sources that provided Btu values for Pacific Northwest species, the researchers found references to Oregon State University (OSU), College of Forestry, Research Bulletin 60, published in September 1987.

It rapidly became a standard reference used by Daniel Parrent of JEDC and Allen Brackley of WUC for research projects concerned with wood energy use and systems. Both users recognized that although the existing publication was comprehensive and the best source that either had located, it was difficult to use. Parrent took it upon himself to reformat the information into tables that allowed easier access to the data. Almost simultaneously, Brackley contacted Jim Funck at OSU and suggested that the publication contained very timely and valuable information and, therefore, should be reprinted and made more generally available to anyone working with wood energy systems. Funck and James Reeb at OSU conducted a literature review to check for any additional information published since 1987, and worked with Parrent and Brackley to publish the updated tables.

The original version of the OSU report contained fuelwood values for species in the Pacific Northwest. This report adds black spruce (*Picea mariana* [Mill.] Britton, Sterns & Poggenb.), a species in the boreal forest of northern Alaska. Some of the information for black spruce resulted from research at the University of Alaska Fairbanks, Agriculture and Forestry Experiment Station.

It was agreed that the reprint would publish the text in the original form, taking into consideration any new reference material and presenting fuelwood characteristics in tabular form. The original authors (Pamela L. Wilson, James W. Funck, and Robert B. Avery) would be credited as authors of the updated version. The work of the above-mentioned individuals would be recognized by adding their names to the formal title page and citation for the updated version of *Fuelwood Characteristics of Northwestern Conifers and Hardwoods* (originally published by the Forest Research Laboratory, Oregon State University as Research Bulletin 60 in September 1987).

In response to peer reviews, moisture and ash contents on wet basis were added along with tables in the International System of units (metric).

Updated, reformatted, and edited by:

Daniel J. Parrent

James W. Funck

James Reeb

Allen M. Brackley

## **Contents**

1	<b>Introduction</b>
5	<b>Conifers</b>
25	<b>Hardwoods</b>
36	<b>Acknowledgments</b>
36	<b>Metric Equivalents</b>
37	<b>Literature Cited</b>
47	<b>Appendix</b>

## Introduction

This report is intended to provide a convenient summary of fuelwood values for tree species of the Pacific Northwest. It collates the published values and gives accurate ranges by species for each fuelwood characteristic.

Species selected are those that were inventoried by the Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station for Washington, Oregon, Idaho, and northern California. We included only species that appear in the inventory for which fuelwood information was found in the literature. Thirty-three conifer and 20 hardwood species appeared in the original report. An additional conifer, black spruce (*Picea mariana* [Mill.] B.S.P.), was added to this updated version. In the original work, scientific names were verified using Little (1979) if the scientific name in the original reference differed from current usage at that time. If the scientific name could not be verified as either a current or a former name, the reference was not included. This update included checking the species list with the names found in the U.S. Department of Agriculture's PLANTS Database (USDA NRCS 2009). Current synonyms were added to the list for some species, and common names were also updated.

The following fuelwood characteristics are included in this report:

- Specific gravity of wood and bark
- Percentage moisture content of wood and bark
- Higher heating value of wood and bark
- Percentage ash of wood and bark
- Percentage bark by volume
- Ultimate analysis of wood and bark:
  - Percentage carbon
  - Percentage hydrogen
  - Percentage oxygen
  - Percentage nitrogen
  - Percentage sulfur

The range of values found for each category is given, as well as any finer breakdown supplied in the published reports. For example, for some species, the moisture content is given for heartwood and sapwood or for inner and outer bark. Not all categories appear for all species, as pertinent values often were not available.

We used original sources whenever possible. Literature reviews are cited only when values given differ from any other published values and the original source is

not easily available. We made no attempt to go back to the original references from Isenberg (1980, 1981). The values we list are as published; they were not necessarily determined according to accepted procedures, and the original authors did not convert units.<sup>1</sup>

Hale (1933) listed the gross calorific value of wood in British thermal units (Btu) per air-dry (AD) cord. The wood was burned in a coal-fired, domestic hot-water heater. In calculating the heating values, we assumed that one cord of split wood cut into 1-ft lengths contained 90 ft<sup>3</sup> of solid material and that the moisture content of the split wood was 20 percent on a total-weight (TW) basis (green weight basis). Weights per cubic foot of different species of wood were taken from the records of the Forest Products Laboratories of Canada (Smith 1970).

Other references give heating values in Btu per AD pound, Btu per oven-dry (OD) pound, or kilocalorie (kcal) per kilogram. If heating values originally were given in both Btus and other units, we list only the Btu heating value.

The values provided by Sargent (1885) were listed in this publication for percentage of ash from wood, but his specific gravity values were listed only when no other information was available. Sargent’s values for specific gravity were determined on an OD-volume basis, whereas this paper generally reports specific gravity on a green-volume basis.

Specific gravity of wood and bark can be determined on the basis of green, AD, or OD volume. The calculation for specific gravity is shown in equation 1.

$$\text{Specific gravity} = \frac{\text{Weight (green, AD, or OD)}}{\text{Weight of displaced water at 4 }^{\circ}\text{C}} \quad (1)$$

In green wood or bark, the cell walls are saturated, giving the largest possible volume, the largest water displacement, and therefore, the lowest specific gravity. Determination of specific gravity with OD volume results in the least displacement of water and gives the highest specific gravity. The density of OD wood in pounds per cubic feet can be estimated by multiplying the specific gravity by the weight of 1 ft<sup>3</sup> of water at 4 °C (62.4 lb/ft<sup>3</sup>). The higher the density (or specific gravity), the more Btus per cubic foot of wood are available for combustion.

The moisture content of wood and bark is very important to combustion. Moisture reduces both heating value and furnace efficiency. Maintaining combustion at moisture contents over 60 percent (TW basis) is very difficult, if not

---

<sup>1</sup> Metric conversion factors are provided at the end of the report. In this update, English measurements have been converted to metric units, and these are shown in the tables.



impossible (Karchesy and Koch 1979). Combustion can be divided into three processes: (1) moisture is evaporated, requiring approximately 1,100 Btu per pound of water, (2) the fuel temperature rises until the volatiles are driven off and burned, (3) the remaining carbon is burned (Karchesy and Koch 1979). Thus, much available heat is used to evaporate the moisture in the fuel. The minimum amount of air needed for combustion (the theoretical air) does not depend on the moisture content and can be calculated from the ultimate analysis (percentage of carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur); Karchesy and Koch (1979) provided a good description of this calculation.

In the forest industry, moisture content is generally given on the OD basis, whereas combustion engineers generally use the wet basis. In the original report, moisture contents were given on an OD basis. Methods for calculating the moisture content and for converting between the two bases are shown in equations (2) through (5) (table 1). This update provides these converted moisture contents on a wet basis in the tables. Additional details on these conversions can be found in the appendix.

The higher heating value (HHV) of wood and bark (the maximum energy that can be produced) is determined with an oxygen bomb calorimeter and is calculated at zero percent moisture content. Any moisture in the wood or bark reduces that maximum value proportionately. If the moisture content on a wet basis is known, the heating value at that moisture content can be calculated according to equation (6) (see table 1). For example, for a moisture content of 50 percent on a TW basis (100 percent based on OD weight) and a HHV of 8,500 Btu/lb,

$$\text{Heating value} = \frac{100 - 50}{100} (8,500) = 4,250 \text{ Btu/lb}$$

Neither HHV (Btu per OD pound) nor percentage ash content differs widely between species. In nearly all species, the HHV can be considered to be 9,000 Btu/OD lb  $\pm$  10 percent.<sup>2</sup> The ash content generally ranges from 0.1 to 1 percent for wood and from 1 to 3 percent for bark (see footnote 2). If ash content in excess of these values is obtained, it can reasonably be assumed that the material has been contaminated during handling and processing. The reported values for the species in this publication could have come from samples with extraneous material, and final values cannot be adjusted for this condition.

---

<sup>2</sup> Zerbe, J.I. 1987. Personal communication. Energy specialist, Forest Products Laboratory, One Gifford Pinchot Drive, Madison, WI 53726.

**Table 1—Equations for calculating percentage moisture content (equations [2] through [5]) and heating value (equation [6]) of wood and bark**

Equation				Equation number
Percentage moisture content (OD basis)	=	$\frac{\text{wet weight} - \text{OD weight}}{\text{OD weight}}$	x 100	(2)
Percentage moisture content (TW basis)	=	$\frac{\text{wet weight} - \text{OD weight}}{\text{wet weight}}$	x 100	(3)
Percentage moisture content (OD basis)	=	$\frac{\text{percentage moisture content (TW basis)}}{100 - \text{percentage moisture content (TW basis)}}$	x 100	(4)
Percentage moisture content (TW basis)	=	$\frac{\text{percentage moisture content (OD basis)}}{100 + \text{percentage moisture content (OD basis)}}$	x 100	(5)
Gross heating value	=	$\frac{100 - \text{percentage moisture content (TW basis)}}{100}$	x HHV	(6)

Note: OD = oven-dry; TW = total weight or green basis; HHV = higher heating value.

Neither ultimate nor proximate (moisture, volatile, carbon, and ash content and HHV) analyses differ much between species in Btu per OD weight. Arola (1976) gave typical ultimate and proximate analysis results by softwood (conifer) and hardwood for wood and bark (table 2).<sup>3</sup> Some totals are not 100 percent, because different sources of data for ash content were averaged. The ultimate analyses in this publication also may not add up to 100 percent, because the ultimate analysis includes ash, and the range of ash content is listed separately.

<sup>3</sup> A comparison of table 2 to the general ash content ranges mentioned previously shows higher values in table 2. The source for the general values, Zerbe, has concerns with Arola's methods and does not think the values in table 2 are realistic. Zerbe believes that the proximate analysis had to be based on something other than the OD condition (which standard methods require). If the original weight of the material is not taken at the OD condition, then the excess moisture is driven off as volatile matter; although there is the same absolute amount of fixed carbon, it is a reduced percentage of the original weight. Arola also mentioned a connection between ultimate analysis and quantifying potential pollutants. Zerbe, however, believes that comparing fixed carbon and ultimate carbon by the two methods of analysis and inferring that the difference is the contribution of pollution is not necessarily valid.

**Table 2—Typical proximate and ultimate analyses of wood and bark**

	Proximate			Ultimate					
	Volatile matter	Fixed carbon	Ash <sup>a</sup>	C	H	O	N	S	Ash <sup>a</sup>
<i>Percent</i>									
Hardwood									
Wood	77.3	19.4	3.4	50.8	6.4	41.8	0.4	—	0.9
Bark	76.7	18.6	4.6	51.2	6.0	37.9	0.4	—	5.2
Softwood									
Wood	77.2	22.0	1.6	52.9	6.3	39.7	0.1	—	1.0
Bark	73.3	23.7	3.0	53.1	5.9	37.9	0.2	—	2.3

Note: C = carbon, H = hydrogen, O = oxygen, N = nitrogen, S = sulfur.

It appears that in the reported proximate analysis, the weight of the tested material was not taken at the oven-dry condition. The calculation of percentage of fixed carbon in this situation results in a value that is lower than would be obtained from application of standard methods (original weight taken at the oven-dry condition).

<sup>a</sup> Ash percentages may differ because different data sources were averaged.

Source: Arola 1976.

## Conifers

The data for northwestern conifers listed below are presented in six tables. The physical properties are given in table 3 for the bark and table 4 for the wood. Table 5 provides the heating values, ash content, and analysis of the bark of the conifers as per the original publication. Table 6 provides these same properties but converted into metric units and ash content on wet basis. Table 7 provides the heating values and ash content of the wood of the conifer species. Like table 6, table 8 shows the data converted into metric units and ash content on wet basis.

### Conifer species

Scientific name	Common name
<i>Abies amabilis</i> (Douglas ex Louden) Douglas ex Forbes	Pacific silver fir
<i>Abies concolor</i> (Gord. & Glend.) Lindl. ex Hildebr.	White fir
<i>Abies grandis</i> (Douglas ex D. Don) Lindl.	Grand fir
<i>Abies lasiocarpa</i> (Hook.) Nutt.	Subalpine fir
<i>Abies magnifica</i> A. Murray	California red fir, Shasta red fir
<i>Abies procera</i> Rehder	Noble fir

Scientific name	Common name
<i>Calocedrus decurrens</i> (Torr.) Florin	Incense cedar
<i>Chamaecyparis lawsoniana</i> (A. Murray) Parl.	Port Orford cedar
<i>Cupressus nootkatensis</i> (D. Don) Spach	Alaska yellow-cedar, Alaska cedar
<i>Chamaecyparis nootkatensis</i> D. Don	Alaska yellow-cedar, Alaska cedar
<i>Juniperus occidentalis</i> Hook.	Western juniper
<i>Larix occidentalis</i> Nutt.	Western larch
<i>Libocedrus decurrens</i> Torr.	Incense cedar
<i>Picea engelmannii</i> Parry ex Engelm.	Engelmann spruce
<i>Picea glauca</i> (Moench) Voss	White spruce
<i>Picea mariana</i> (Mill.) Britton, Sterns & Poggenb.	Black spruce
<i>Picea pungens</i> Engelm.	Blue spruce
<i>Picea sitchensis</i> (Bong.) Carr.	Sitka spruce
<i>Pinus albicaulis</i> Engelm.	Whitebark pine
<i>Pinus aristata</i> Engelm.	Bristlecone pine
<i>Pinus attenuata</i> Lemmon	Knobcone pine
<i>Pinus contorta</i> Douglas ex Loud.	Lodgepole pine
<i>Pinus jeffreyi</i> Balf.	Jeffrey pine
<i>Pinus lambertiana</i> Douglas	Sugar pine
<i>Pinus monticola</i> Douglas ex D. Don	Western white pine
<i>Pinus muricata</i> D. Don	Bishop pine
<i>Pinus ponderosa</i> C. Lawson	Ponderosa pine
<i>Pinus radiata</i> D. Don	Monterey pine, radiata pine
<i>Pinus sabiniana</i> Douglas ex Douglas	California foothill pine, digger pine, gray pine
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i> (Mirb.) Franco	Douglas-fir
<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i> (Lamb. ex D. Don) Endl.	Redwood
<i>Sequoiadendron giganteum</i> (Lindl.) J. Buchholz	Giant sequoia
<i>Taxus brevifolia</i> Nutt.	Pacific yew
<i>Thuja plicata</i> Donn. ex D. Don	Western redcedar
<i>Tsuga heterophylla</i> (Raf.) Sarg.	Western hemlock
<i>Tsuga mertensiana</i> (Bong.) Carr.	Mountain hemlock

Table 3—Physical properties of northwestern conifers (bark)

Scientific name	Common name	Specific gravity		Moisture content			Bark by volume	
		Value <sup>a</sup>	Citation number	Oven-dry basis	Total-weight basis	Citation number	Value	Citation number
<i>Abies amabilis</i>	Pacific silver fir							
	Inner bark	0.53	73	77.4	43.6	73	12	85
	Outer bark	.58	73	39.6	28.4	73		
	Mixed	.44	74					
<i>Abies concolor</i>	Mixed	.68 <sup>b</sup>	27					
	White fir							
	Inner bark	.69 <sup>c</sup>	7					
	Outer bark	.60 <sup>c</sup>	7					
<i>Abies grandis</i>	Mixed	.62 <sup>b</sup>	27					
	Grand fir							
	Inner bark	.63	73	81	44.8	73		
	Outer bark	.70	73	51.4	34.0	73		
<i>Abies lasiocarpa</i>	Mixed	.57-.64 <sup>b</sup>	27	50.8	33.4	53		
	Subalpine fir	.50-.55 <sup>b</sup>	27					
<i>Abies magnifica</i>	California red fir			22.2	18.2	53		
	Inner bark	.69 <sup>c</sup>	7					
	Outer bark	.50 <sup>c</sup>	7					
	Mixed	.50 <sup>b</sup>	27					
<i>Abies procera</i>	Noble fir	.56 <sup>b</sup>	27					
	Port Orford cedar	.33-.52 <sup>b</sup>	27					
<i>Chamaecyparis lawsoniana</i>								
	Alaska yellow-cedar							
	Inner bark	.41	73	145	59.2	73	11-13.1	16,74
	Outer bark	.38	73	79.4	44.3	73		
<i>Juniperus occidentalis</i>	Mixed	.63 <sup>b</sup>	27					
	Western juniper	.48 <sup>b</sup>	27					
	Western larch							
	Inner bark	.37-.43	29,73	98.6	49.6	73	21 ± 6.5	76
<i>Larix occidentalis</i>	Outer bark	.33-.35	29,73	44.0	30.6	73		
	Mixed	.32-.33	25,29,74					

Table 3—Physical properties of northwestern conifers (bark) (continued)

Scientific name	Common name	Specific gravity		Moisture content			Bark by volume	
		Value <sup>a</sup>	Citation number	Oven-dry basis	Total-weight basis	Citation number	Value	Citation number
<i>Libocedrus decurrens</i>	Incense cedar							
	Outer bark	.30 <sup>c</sup>	7	25	20	53	Percent	76
	Mixed	.27 <sup>b</sup>	27				29 ± 9.6	
<i>Picea engelmannii</i>	Engelmann spruce							
	Inner bark	.41-.45	29,73	121	55	73		
	Outer bark	.52-.53	29,73	60.5	37.7	73		
	Mixed	.43-.51	25,29,73,74					
	Mixed	.80 <sup>b</sup>	27					
<i>Picea glauca</i>	White spruce							
	Inner bark	.45	73	104	51	73	8.6-11.7	85
	Outer bark	.43-.50	29,73	50	33	73		
	Mixed	.39	25,29					
	Mixed	.62-.68 <sup>b</sup>	27	45.6	31.3	77		
<i>Picea mariana</i>	Black spruce							
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue spruce							
<i>Picea sitchensis</i>	Sitka spruce							
	Inner bark	.44	73	112	53	73		
	Outer bark	.62	73	55.3	35.6	73		
	Mixed	.63 <sup>b</sup>	27					
	Whitebark pine							
<i>Pinus albicaulis</i>	Bristlecone pine							
	Knobcone pine							
	Lodgepole pine							
	Inner bark	.32-.34	29,73	128	56	73	11.1	43
	Outer bark	.45-.51	29,73	42	29	73	10 ± 2.4	16,74,76
<i>Pinus aristata</i>	At 1.0 foot	.42	74					
	At 4.5 feet	.40	74					
	Mixed	.38	25,29					
	Mixed	.60 <sup>b</sup>	27					
	Jeffrey pine							
<i>Pinus jeffreyi</i>	Outer bark	.36 <sup>c</sup>	7					
	Sugar pine							
	Outer bark	.34 <sup>c</sup>	7					
<i>Pinus lambertiana</i>	Outer bark	.38 <sup>b</sup>	27					
	Mixed							

Table 3—Physical properties of northwestern conifers (bark) (continued)

Scientific name	Common name	Specific gravity		Moisture content			Bark by volume	
		Value <sup>a</sup>	Citation number	Oven-dry basis	Total-weight basis	Citation number	Value	Citation number
<i>Pinus monticola</i>	Western white pine							
	Inner bark	.31	73	118	54	73	17 ± 8.3	16,21,76
	Outer bark	.54	73	75	43	73		
	Mixed	.51	21	64-82	39-45	21,60		
	Mixed	.63 <sup>b</sup>	27					
<i>Pinus muricata</i>	Bishop pine							
	Ponderosa pine							
<i>Pinus ponderosa</i>	Inner bark	.34-.36	29,73	77.8	43.8	73	5.9-27.2	16,50,76,82
	Outer bark	.34-.35	29,73	21	17	73		
	Outer bark	.27 <sup>c</sup>	7					
	Mixed	.28-.35	25,29,74	15.3-40.4	13.3-28.8	53,60		
<i>Pinus radiata</i>	Monterey pine							
<i>Pinus sabiniana</i>	California foothill pine							
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Douglas-fir							
	Inner bark	.42-.46	29,73,74	133	57.1	73	18.3	43
	Outer bark	.40-.46	29,73,74	80.3	44.5	73	9.4-35	16,21,74,76,83,85
	Outer bark	.48 <sup>c</sup>	7					
	Mixed	.41-.51	21,25,74					
	Mixed	.41-.54 <sup>b</sup>	27					
	Old growth			8.9	8.2	53		
	Second growth			97.2	49.3	53		
	At test			48-80.2	32-44.5	14,21		
	Redwood			13.9	12.2	53		
<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	Inner bark	.48 <sup>c</sup>	7					
	Outer bark	.43 <sup>c</sup>	7					
	Mixed	.46 <sup>b</sup>	27					
	Old growth						14-16	58
Second growth						12.6-13	58	
<i>Sequoiadendron giganteum</i>	Giant sequoia							
<i>Taxus brevifolia</i>	Pacific yew	.35	21	97.2	49.3	21	3.4	21
	Pacific yew	.62 <sup>b</sup>	27					

Table 3—Physical properties of northwestern conifers (bark) (continued)

Scientific name	Common name	Specific gravity		Moisture content			Bark by volume	
		Value <sup>a</sup>	Citation number	Oven-dry basis	Total-weight basis	Citation number	Value	Citation number
<i>Thuja plicata</i>	Western redcedar							
	Inner bark	.36	73	88.5	46.9	73		
	Outer bark	.38	73	37.4	27.2	73		
	Mixed	.35-.37	21,74	44.7-47.4	30.9-32.2	21,53	9 ± 4.1	21,76
	Mixed	.44 <sup>b</sup>	27					
	Coastal Interior						13.9 15.2	16 16
<i>Tsuga heterophylla</i>	Western hemlock							
	Inner bark	.38-.46	29,51,73,74	86-134	46-57	51,73		
	Outer bark	.45-.56	29,51,73,74	38-65.2	28-39.5	51,73		
	Mixed	.44-.46	21,25,29,74	65-74	39-43	14,21	6.3-16.3	21,76,85
	Mixed	.59 <sup>b</sup>	27					
	Coastal Interior						16.3 17.9	16 16
<i>Tsuga mertensiana</i>	Mountain hemlock	.46 <sup>b</sup>	27					

<sup>a</sup> Green volume, oven-dry weight unless otherwise noted.<sup>b</sup> Oven-dry volume, oven-dry weight.<sup>c</sup> Volume at 13 percent ± 2 percent moisture content, oven-dry weight.



**Table 4—Physical properties of northwestern conifers (wood)**

Scientific name	Common name	Specific gravity			Moisture content							
		Value <sup>a</sup>	Citation number	Sapwood		Heartwood		Mixed				
				OD basis	TW basis	OD basis	TW basis	OD basis	TW basis			
<i>Abies amabilis</i>	Pacific silver fir	0.28-0.55	20,47,48,75,85	164	62.1	16,57	55	35	57,16	66-74	40-43	16,20,49,75
<i>Abies concolor</i>	White fir	.26-.54	22,47,49,85,86,90	98	49	57	160	62	57	110-154	52-61	29,49,90
<i>Abies grandis</i>	Grand fir	.24-.55	47,49	136	58	57	91	48	57	94	48	49
<i>Abies lasiocarpa</i>	Subalpine fir	.30-.38	4,49,75,83	153	60	16	56	36	16	47-67	32-40	16,49,57,75
<i>Abies magnifica</i>	California red fir	.31-.46	47,49,68	115	53	57	34	25	57	108	52	49,57
<i>Abies procera</i>	Noble fir	.26-.44	47,49,56	98-200	49-67	57,78	40-50	29-33	57,78	36-70	26-41	49,56
<i>Chamaecyparis lawsoniana</i>	Port Orford cedar	.39-.40	49,78,87	166	62	57	32	24	57	34-52	25-34	16,20,49
<i>Chamaecyparis nootkatensis</i>	Alaska yellow-cedar	.42	20,49	128-216	56-68	39	46-87	32-47	39	64-178	39-64	39
<i>Juniperus occidentalis</i>	Western juniper	.58 <sup>b</sup>	64	110-119	52-54	16,57,87	35-54	26-35	16,56,57	49.4-63.9	33.1-39.0	20,21,49,75
<i>Larix occidentalis</i>	Western larch	.37-.55	20,21,47,49,75,83	213	68	57	40	29	57	108	52	49
<i>Libocedrus decurrens</i>	Incense cedar	.35	49	173	63	57	51	34	57	58-100	37-50	20,49,75
<i>Picea engelmannii</i>	Engelmann spruce	.23-.58	5,20,47,49,75,83	163	62	16	51	34	16	50-75	33-43	16,20,49,75
<i>Picea glauca</i>	White spruce	.35-.46	20,49,75,83,85	142	59	57	41	29	57	42-43	29-30	16,20,49
<i>Picea mariana</i>	Black spruce	.5-.55	77									
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue spruce	.37 <sup>b</sup>	64									
<i>Picea sitchensis</i>	Sitka spruce	.35-.37	20,49,83									
<i>Pinus albicaulis</i>	Whitebark pine	.42 <sup>b</sup>	33,34,64									
<i>Pinus aristata</i>	Bristlecone pine	.56 <sup>b</sup>	64									
<i>Pinus attenuata</i>	Knobcone pine	.35-.42	43,72									
<i>Pinus contorta</i>	Lodgepole pine											
	Sapwood											
	Green	.36	44	163	62	44						
	Dead down	.36	44	15	13	44						
	Dead standing	.37	44	18	15	44						
	At test			115-120	53-55	16,57						
	Heartwood											
	Green	.38	44				35	26	44			
	Dead down	.36	44				20	17	44			
	Dead standing	.38	44				12	11	44			
	At test						38-41	28-29	16,57			
	Mixed (at test)	.26-.55	20,44,47,49,75,82							49-65	33-39	16,20,49,75

**Table 4—Physical properties of northwestern conifers (wood) (continued)**

Scientific name	Common name	Specific gravity		Moisture content						
		Value <sup>a</sup>	Citation number	Sapwood		Heartwood		Mixed		
				OD basis	TW basis	OD basis	TW basis	OD basis	TW basis	
-----Percent-----										
<i>Pinus jeffreyi</i>	Jeffrey pine	.37	49							
<i>Pinus lambertiana</i>	Sugar pine	.28-.45	47,49	219	69	57	49	58	50	49
<i>Pinus monticola</i>	Western white pine									
	Sapwood									
	Green	.46	44	27	21	44				
	Dead down	.35	44	34	25	44				
	Dead standing	.34	44	14	12	44				
	At test			148	60	57				
	Heartwood									
	Green	.43	44				22	44		
	Dead down	.38	44				35	44		
	Dead standing	.39	44				17	44		
	At test						62	57		
	Mixed (at test)	.29-.45	20,21,44,47,49						35-56	16,20,21,49,60
<i>Pinus muricata</i>	Bishop pine	.45	71							
<i>Pinus ponderosa</i>	Ponderosa pine	.27-.54	20,29,47,49,50,75,82	148	60	16,57	40	29	42-59.9	6,20,49,53,60,75
<i>Pinus radiata</i>	Monterey pine	.40-.53	11	90-145	47-59	11	35	26		70
<i>Pinus sabiniana</i>	California foothill pine	.37-.43	43,70						102	51
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Douglas-fir	.33-.59	20,21,47,49,75,83,85	115-154	53-61	16,57	31-39	24-28	36-65.6	16,20,21,49,21,49,
<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	Redwood									
	Open grown	.28	49						146	59
	Close grown	.32	49						112	53
	Old growth	.36-.38	29,83	210	68	57	86	46		
	Second growth	.33-.34	29,83						127	56
	Mixed	.24-.36	3						75-155	43-61
<i>Sequoiadendron giganteum</i>	Giant sequoia									
	Young growth	.35	59							
	Old growth	.30	59							
	Mixed	.34	12						180	64
<i>Taxus brevifolia</i>	Pacific yew	.59-.60	21,49						44-50.2	31-33.4
<i>Thuja plicata</i>	Western redcedar	.27-.42	20,21,47,49,75	249	71	57	58	37	34.1-62	25.4-38
<i>Tsuga heterophylla</i>	Western hemlock	.30-.52	20,21,23,47,49,75,83,85	143-170	59-63	16,57	55-85	35-46	64.6-92	39.3-48
<i>Tsuga mertensiana</i>	Mountain hemlock	.37-.45	49,93						62-68	38-40

Note: OD = oven dry, TW = total weight.

<sup>a</sup> Green volume, oven-dry weight unless otherwise noted.

<sup>b</sup> Oven-dry volume, oven-dry weight.

Table 5—Higher heating values and ash content of northwestern conifers (bark)

Scientific name	Common name	Heating value		Ash <sup>a</sup>	Citation number	Analysis				
		Value	Citation number			Carbon	Hydrogen	Nitrogen	Sulfur	Citation number
<i>Abies amabilis</i>	Pacific silver fir									
<i>Abies concolor</i>	White fir									
<i>Abies grandis</i>	Grand fir	9,641	36	2.5	53					
<i>Abies lasiocarpa</i>	Subalpine fir									
<i>Abies magnifica</i>	California red fir			2.6	53					
<i>Abies procera</i>	Noble fir									
<i>Chamaecyparis lawsoniana</i>	Port Orford cedar									
<i>Chamaecyparis nootkatensis</i>	Alaska yellow-cedar									
<i>Juniperus occidentalis</i>	Western juniper									
<i>Larix occidentalis</i>	Western larch	8,280-9,162	9,25,36,61	1.6-2.4	8,29					
		8,204 <sup>b</sup>	8							
		4,860 <sup>c</sup>	29							
<i>Libocedrus decurrens</i>	Incense cedar			.6	53					
<i>Picea engelmannii</i>	Englemann spruce	8,420-9,616	9,25,36,61	2.5-2.6	8,29					
		8,359 <sup>d</sup>	8							
<i>Picea glauca</i>	White spruce	8,340-8,913	25,52	3.0-4.2	29,52	52.4 (C)		52		
						6.4 (H)				
						38.1 (O)				
						.1 (N)				
						0 (S)				
<i>Picea mariana</i>	Black spruce	8,377	77	.27-.46	77	46.4 (C)		77		
				2.0	8	5.9 (H)				
						.19 (N)				

Table 5—Higher heating values and ash content of northwestern conifers (bark) (continued)

Scientific name	Common name	Heating value		Ash <sup>a</sup>	Citation number	Analysis			
		Value	Citation number			Carbon Hydrogen Oxygen Nitrogen Sulfur	Citation number	Percent	
									Percent
		<i>Btu/OD lb</i>							
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue spruce								
<i>Picea sitchensis</i>	Sitka spruce								
<i>Pinus albicaulis</i>	Whitebark pine								
<i>Pinus aristata</i>	Bristlecone pine								
<i>Pinus attenuata</i>	Knobcone pine								
<i>Pinus contorta</i>	Lodgepole pine	9,310-10,760 10,190 <sup>c</sup>	9,16,25,31,36 8	.5-2.2	8,29,31	55.0 (C) 5.8 (H) 38.7 (O+N) trace (S)			31
<i>Pinus jeffreyi</i>	Jeffrey pine								
<i>Pinus lambertiana</i>	Sugar pine	8,904-9,335	21,27,36	.6	8				
<i>Pinus monticola</i>	Western white pine	5,040 <sup>c</sup>	29	1.3-2.6	21,27				
<i>Pinus muricata</i>	Bishop pine	9,415-9,616	25,36,89	.7	29,53				
<i>Pinus ponderosa</i>	Ponderosa pine								
<i>Pinus radiata</i>	Monterey pine								
<i>Pinus sabiniana</i>	California foothill pine								
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Douglas-fir								
	Old growth	10,100	92	2.2	53	51.2-56.2 (C) 5.8-6.2 (H) 38.8-39.3 (O) 0-2 (N) 36.7 (O+N) 0.0-trace (S)			9,14,31,38 9,14,31,38 9,14,38 9,14,38 31 9,14,31,38
	Second growth	10,150	92	1.2	53				
	At test	9,373-10,845	9,14,16,21,25, 31,36,60,89	1.0-3.7	9,14,21,29, 31,38,41				

Table 5—Higher heating values and ash content of northwestern conifers (bark) (continued)

Scientific name	Common name	Heating value		Ash <sup>a</sup>	Citation number	Analysis						
		Value	Citation number			Carbon	Hydrogen	Oxygen	Nitrogen	Sulfur	Citation number	
												Btu/OD lb
<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	Redwood	8,350	2	.4-.8	2,31,53	51.9 (C)	5.1 (H)	42.4 (O)	42.6 (O+N)	.1 (N)	trace-.1 (S)	2,3 2 2 31 2 2,31
<i>Sequoiadendron giganteum</i>	Giant sequoia											
<i>Taxus brevifolia</i>	Pacific yew	6,961	21	8.1	21							
<i>Thuja plicata</i>	Western redcedar	8,694-9,014 4,830 <sup>c</sup>	9,16,21,36,60 29	1.95-2.25	21,29,53							
<i>Tsuga heterophylla</i>	Western hemlock	8,900-9,943	9,14,16,21,25, 29,36,60	1.5-3.7	9,14,21,29	51.2-53.0 (C)	5.8-6.2 (H)	39.2-39.3 (O)	0-.1 (N)	0-trace (S)		9,14
<i>Tsuga mertensiana</i>	Mountain hemlock											

<sup>a</sup> Ash content on oven-dry basis.

<sup>b</sup> British thermal units per pound, at 6.7 percent moisture content.

<sup>c</sup> Kilocalories per kilogram; moisture content not stated.

<sup>d</sup> British thermal units per pound, at 5.5 percent moisture content.

<sup>e</sup> British thermal units per pound, at 5.6 percent moisture content.

Table 6—Higher heating values and ash content of northwestern conifers (bark) in International System of Units (SI)

Scientific name	Common name	Heating value		Ash <sup>a</sup>	Citation number	Analysis		
		Value	Citation number			Carbon Hydrogen Oxygen Nitrogen Sulfur	Citation number	Citation number
		Megajoule per kilogram	Percent					
<i>Abies amabilis</i>	Pacific silver fir							
<i>Abies concolor</i>	White fir							
<i>Abies grandis</i>	Grand fir	22.42	36	1.25	53			
<i>Abies lasiocarpa</i>	Subalpine fir							
<i>Abies magnifica</i>	California red fir			1.3	53			
<i>Abies procera</i>	Noble fir							
<i>Chamaecyparis lawsoniana</i>	Port Orford cedar							
<i>Chamaecyparis nootkatensis</i>	Alaska yellow-cedar							
<i>Juniperus occidentalis</i>	Western juniper							
<i>Larix occidentalis</i>	Western larch	19.26-21.31 19.08	9,25,36,61 8	.8-1.2	8,29			
		20.35	29					
<i>Libocedrus decurrens</i>	Incense cedar			.3	53			
<i>Picea englemannii</i>	Englemann spruce	19.58-22.37 19.44	9,25,36,61 8	1.25-1.3	8,29			
<i>Picea glauca</i>	White spruce	19.40-20.73	25,52	1.5-2.1	29,52	52.4 (C) 6.4 (H) 38.1 (O) .1 (N) 0 (S)		52
<i>Picea mariana</i>	Black spruce	19.48	77	.135-.23 1.0	77 8	46.4 (C) 5.9 (H) .19 (N)		77
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue spruce							
<i>Picea sitchensis</i>	Sitka spruce							

Table 6—Higher heating values and ash content of northwestern conifers (bark) in International System of units (SI) (continued)

Scientific name	Common name	Analysis				Citation number	Citation number	Citation number
		Heating value		Ash <sup>a</sup>	Carbon Hydrogen Oxygen Nitrogen Sulfur			
		Value	Citation number					
		Megajoule per kilogram	Percent		Percent			
<i>Pinus albicaulis</i>	Whitebark pine							
<i>Pinus aristata</i>	Bristlecone pine							
<i>Pinus attenuata</i>	Knobcone pine							
<i>Pinus contorta</i>	Lodgepole pine	21.66-25.03 23.70	9, 16, 25, 31, 36 8	.25-1.1		55.0 (C) 5.8 (H) 38.7 (O+N) trace (S)		31
<i>Pinus jeffreyi</i>	Jeffrey pine							
<i>Pinus lambertiana</i>	Sugar pine			.3	8			
<i>Pinus monticola</i>	Western white pine	20.71-21.71 21.10	21, 27, 36 29	.65-1.3	21, 27			
<i>Pinus muricata</i>	Bishop pine	21.90-22.37	25, 36, 89	.35	29, 53			
<i>Pinus ponderosa</i>	Ponderosa pine							
<i>Pinus radiata</i>	Monterey pine							
<i>Pinus sabiniana</i>	California foothill pine							
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Douglas-fir							
	Old growth	23.49	92	1.1	53	51.2-56.2 (C) 5.8-6.2 (H) 38.8-39.3 (O) 0-2 (N) 36.7 (O+N) 0-trace (S)		9, 14, 31, 38 9, 14, 31, 38 9, 14, 38 9, 14, 38 31
	Second growth	23.61	92	.6	53			
	At test	21.80-25.23	9, 14, 16, 21, 25, 31, 36, 60, 89	.5-1.85	9, 14, 21, 29, 31, 38, 41			

**Table 6—Higher heating values and ash content of northwestern conifers (bark) in International System of units (SI) (continued)**

Scientific name	Common name	Heating value		Ash <sup>a</sup>	Citation number	Analysis							
		Value	Citation number			Carbon	Hydrogen	Oxygen	Nitrogen	Sulfur	Citation number		
		Megajoule per kilogram		Percent		Percent							
<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	Redwood	19.42	2	.2-.4	2,31,53	51.9 (C)	5.1 (H)	42.4 (O)	42.6 (O+N)	.1 (N)	trace-.1 (S)	2,31	2,31
<i>Sequoiadendron giganteum</i>	Giant sequoia												
<i>Taxus brevifolia</i>	Pacific yew	16.19	21	4.05	21								
<i>Thuja plicata</i>	Western redcedar	20.22-20.97	9,16,21,36,60	.975-1.125	21,29,53								
<i>Tsuga heterophylla</i>	Western hemlock	20.22	29										
		20.70-23.13	9,14,16,21,25,	.75-1.85	9,14,21,29	51.2-53.0 (C)	5.8-6.2 (H)	39.2-39.3 (O)		0-.1 (N)	0-trace (S)	9,14	
			29,36,60										
<i>Tsuga mertensiana</i>	Mountain hemlock												

<sup>a</sup> Ash content on total weight or green basis. This value was calculated by assuming an increase in mass from oven-dry to 50-percent moisture content green basis. This mass increase would all be water and the amount of ash would remain the same (e.g., doubling the number of pounds of mass in relation to the ash). Thus, to get the ash content on green basis, the value for the oven-dry basis is divided by half. Actual moisture content of green material may differ from a moisture content of 50-percent green basis.



Table 7—Higher heating values and ash content of northwestern conifers (wood)

Scientific name	Common name	By volume		By weight		Ash	
		Value	Citation number	Value	Citation number	Value	Citation number
		Million Btu per AD cord		Btu/OD lb		Percent	
<i>Abies amabilis</i>	Pacific silver fir	16.5	24	8,795	89	0.23-0.47	6,29,64
<i>Abies concolor</i>	White fir			4,440 <sup>a</sup>	29	.4-.85	29,64,83
<i>Abies grandis</i>	Grand fir	17.4	24	8,664	89	.25-.49	18,42,64
<i>Abies lasiocarpa</i>	Subalpine fir					.4-.5	64,83
<i>Abies magnifica</i>	California red fir					.30	64
<i>Abies procera</i>	Noble fir					.34-.71	29,42,64
<i>Chamaecyparis lawsoniana</i>	Port Orford cedar					.10	64
<i>Chamaecyparis nootkatensis</i>	Alaska yellow-cedar			9,900	16	.22-.34	42,64
<i>Juniperus occidentalis</i>	Western juniper					.12-.36	40,64
<i>Larix occidentalis</i>	Western larch	26.5	24	8,370-8,431	21,36	.09-.80	21,29,61,64,83
<i>Libocedrus decurrens</i>	Incense cedar						
	Sapwood					.47	29
	Heartwood			10,668	89	.30	29
	Mixed					.08-.34	29,64
<i>Picea engelmannii</i>	Englemann spruce	17.6	24	8,890	78	.20-2.0	29,61,64,83
<i>Picea glauca</i>	White spruce	16.2	24			.22-.30	10,29
<i>Picea mariana</i>	Black spruce	19.1	24	8,377-8,551	77		77
				8,246	8		
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Blue spruce					.38	64
<i>Picea sitchensis</i>	Sitka spruce	15.9	24	8,100	16	.17-.45	29,64
				4,500 <sup>a</sup>	29		
<i>Pinus albicaulis</i>	Whitebark pine					.27	64
<i>Pinus aristata</i>	Bristlecone pine					.30	64
<i>Pinus attenuata</i>	Knobcone pine					.20-.33	43,64
<i>Pinus contorta</i>	Lodgepole pine						
	Sapwood						
	Green			19.9 <sup>b</sup>	44	.36	44
	Dead down			19.5 <sup>b</sup>	44	.51	44
	Dead standing			19.6 <sup>b</sup>	44	.53	44

Table 7—Higher heating values and ash content of northwestern conifers (wood) (continued)

Scientific name	Common name	By volume		By weight		Ash	
		Value	Citation number	Value	Citation number	Value	Citation number
		<i>Million Btu per AD cord</i>		<i>Btu/OD lb</i>		<i>Percent</i>	
	Heartwood						
	Green			20.0 <sup>b</sup>	44	.34	44
	Dead down			19.9 <sup>b</sup>	44	.43	44
	Dead standing			20.1 <sup>b</sup>	44	.55	44
	Mixed	20.1	24	8,600	16		
				4,870 <sup>a</sup>	29		
	Mixed (at test)					.19-.50	29,42,44,61,64,82
<i>Pinus jeffreyi</i>	Jeffrey pine					.26	64
<i>Pinus lambertiana</i>	Sugar pine						
	Sapwood					.20	29
	Heartwood					.20	29
	Mixed					.22-.50	61,64
<i>Pinus monticola</i>	Western white pine						
	Sapwood						
	Green			19.8 <sup>b</sup>	44	.29	44
	Dead down			19.8 <sup>b</sup>	44	.29	44
	Dead standing			19.5 <sup>b</sup>	44	.23	44
	At test					.20	29
	Heartwood						
	Green			20.3 <sup>b</sup>	44	.36	44
	Dead down			20.4 <sup>b</sup>	44	.28	44
	Dead standing			20.2 <sup>b</sup>	44	.30	44
	At test					.20	29
	Mixed	18.6	24	8,366-8,620	21,35		
	Mixed (at test)					.20-.33	21,29,44,64,82
<i>Pinus muricata</i>	Bishop pine					.26	64
<i>Pinus ponderosa</i>	Ponderosa pine						
	Sapwood					.30	29
	Heartwood			8,920-8,992	89	.20	29
	Mixed	22.1	24	9,100-9,140	9,16,29,92	.18-.50	29,53,61,64,82
<i>Pinus radiata</i>	Monterey pine					.30	64
<i>Pinus sabiniana</i>	California foothill pine					1.10-.40	43.64

Table 7—Higher heating values and ash content of northwestern conifers (wood) (continued)

Scientific name	Common name	By volume		By weight		Ash	
		Value	Citation number	Value	Citation number	Value	Citation number
		<i>Million Btu per AD cord</i>		<i>Btu/OD lb</i>		<i>Percent</i>	
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Douglas-fir					.08-.80	6,15,21,29,40,53,64,83
	Sapwood			8,500	92		
	Heartwood			7,500-9,000	29,89,92		
	Mixed	22.2 <sup>c</sup>		8,318-9,200	9,15,16,21,61,89		
	Mixed	24.3	24				
<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	Redwood			8,840-9,220	15,60		
				5,020 <sup>a</sup>	29		
	Second growth					.10	83
	Old growth					.10	83
	Mixed					.14-.40	15,29,53,64
<i>Sequoiadendron giganteum</i>	Giant sequoia					.50	64
<i>Taxus brevifolia</i>	Pacific yew			9,322	21	.22-.32	21,40,64
<i>Thuja plicata</i>	Western redcedar	16.8	24	8,588-9,700	16,21,92	.27-.42	21,35,29
<i>Tsuga heterophylla</i>	Western hemlock	19.3	24	8,410-8,620	9,15,16,21,92		
	Sapwood					.37	29
	Heartwood					.51	29
	Mixed					.30-2.2	15,21,29,35,83
<i>Tsuga mertensiana</i>	Mountain hemlock					.50	29

Note: AD = air-dry, OD = oven-dry.

<sup>a</sup> Kilojoules per kilogram (kJ/kg).

<sup>b</sup> Megajoules per kilogram (MJ/kg).

<sup>c</sup> No citation was listed in the original publication.

Table 8—Higher heating values and ash content of northwestern conifers (wood) in International System of units (SI)

Scientific name	Common name	By volume		By weight		Ash <sup>a</sup>	
		Value <sup>b</sup> <i>Megajoules per solid AD cubic meter</i>	Citation number	Value <i>Megajoules per kilogram</i>	Citation number	Value <i>Percent</i>	Citation number
<i>Abies amabilis</i>	Pacific silver fir	6822.3	24			0.115-0.235	6,29,64
<i>Abies concolor</i>	White fir			20.46	89	.2-.425	29,64,83
<i>Abies grandis</i>	Grand fir	7194.4	24	20.15	89	.125-.245	18,42,64
<i>Abies lasiocarpa</i>	Subalpine fir			18.59	29	.2-.25	64,83
<i>Abies magnifica</i>	California red fir					.15	64
<i>Abies procera</i>	Noble fir					.17-.355	29,42,64
<i>Chamaecyparis lawsoniana</i>	Port Orford cedar					.05	64
<i>Chamaecyparis nootkatensis</i>	Alaska yellow-cedar			23.03	16	.11-.17	42,64
<i>Juniperus occidentalis</i>	Western juniper					.06-.18	40,64
<i>Larix occidentalis</i>	Western larch	10 957.0	24	19.47-19.61	21,36	.045-.40	21,29,61,64,83
<i>Libocedrus decurrens</i>	Incense cedar						
	Sapwood					.235	29
	Heartwood			24.81	89	.15	29
	Mixed					.04-.17	29,64
<i>Picea englemannii</i>	Englemann spruce	7277.1	24			.10-1.0	29,61,64,83
<i>Picea glauca</i>	White spruce	6698.2	24	20.68	78	.11-.15	10,29
<i>Picea mariana</i>	Black spruce	7897.3	24	19.48-19.89	77	.195	77
	Blue spruce			19.18	8		
<i>Picea pungens</i>	Sitka spruce	6574.2	24	18.84	16	.19	64
<i>Pinus albicaulis</i>	Whitebark pine					.085-.225	29,64
<i>Pinus aristata</i>	Bristlecone pine					.135	64
<i>Pinus attenuata</i>	Knobcone pine					.15	64
<i>Pinus contorta</i>	Lodgepole pine					.10-.165	43,64
	Sapwood						
	Green			19.9	44	.18	44
	Dead down			19.5	44	.255	44
	Dead standing			19.6	44	.265	44

Table 8—Higher heating values and ash content of northwestern conifers (wood) in International System of Units (SI) (continued)

Scientific name	Common name	By volume		By weight		Ash <sup>a</sup>	
		Value <sup>b</sup> <i>Megajoules per solid AD cubic meter</i>	Citation number	Value <i>Megajoules per kilogram</i>	Citation number		Value <i>Percent</i>
	Heartwood						
	Green			20.0	44	.17	44
	Dead down			19.9	44	.215	44
	Dead standing			20.1	44	.275	44
	Mixed	8310.8	24	20.00	16		
	Mixed			20.39	29		
	Mixed (at test)					.095-.25	29,42,44,61,64,
<i>Pinus jeffreyi</i>	Jeffrey pine					.13	82,64
<i>Pinus lambertiana</i>	Sugar pine					.10	29
	Sapwood					.10	29
	Heartwood					.11-.25	61,64
	Mixed						
<i>Pinus monticola</i>	Western white pine						
	Sapwood			19.8	44	.145	44
	Green			19.8	44	.145	44
	Dead down			19.5	44	.115	44
	Dead standing					.10	29
	At test						
	Heartwood					.18	44
	Green			20.3	44	.14	44
	Dead down			20.4	44	.15	44
	Dead standing			20.2	44	.10	29
	At test						
	Mixed	7690.6	24	19.46-20.05	21,35	.10-.165	21,29,44,64,82
	Mixed (at test)					.13	64
<i>Pinus muricata</i>	Bishop pine					.15	29
<i>Pinus ponderosa</i>	Ponderosa pine			20.75-20.92	89	.10	29
	Sapwood			21.17-21.26	9,16,29,92	.09-.25	29,53,61,64,82
	Heartwood					.15	64
	Mixed	9137.7	24				
<i>Pinus radiata</i>	Monterey pine						

**Table 8—Higher heating values and ash content of northwestern conifers (wood) in International System of Units (SI) (continued)**

Scientific name	Common name	By volume		By weight		Ash <sup>a</sup>	
		Value <sup>b</sup> <i>Megajoules per solid AD cubic meter</i>	Citation number	Value <i>Megajoules per kilogram</i>	Citation number	Value	Citation number
<i>Pinus sabiniana</i>	California foothill pine					.55-.20	43,64
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Douglas-fir					.04-.40	6,15,21,29,40,53,64,83
	Sapwood			19.77	92		
	Heartwood			17.44-20.93	29,89,92		
	Mixed	9179.0 <sup>c</sup>		19.35-21.40	9,15,16,21,61,89		
	Mixed	10 047.3	24				
<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	Redwood			20.56-21.45	15,60		
				21.02	29		
	Second growth					.05	83
	Old growth					.05	83
	Mixed					.07-.20	15,29,53,64
<i>Sequoiadendron giganteum</i>	Giant sequoia					.25	64
<i>Taxus brevifolia</i>	Pacific yew			21.68	21	.11-.16	21,40,64
<i>Thuja plicata</i>	Western redcedar	6946.3	24	19.98-22.56	16,21,92	.135-.21	21,35,29
<i>Tsuga heterophylla</i>	Western hemlock	7980.0	24	19.56-20.05	9,15,16,21,92		
	Sapwood					.185	29
	Heartwood					.255	29
	Mixed					.15-1.1	15,21,29,35,83
<i>Tsuga mertensiana</i>	Mountain hemlock					.25	29

<sup>a</sup> Ash content on total weight or green basis. This value was calculated by assuming an increase in mass from oven-dry to 50-percent moisture content green basis. This mass increase would all be water and the amount of ash would remain the same (e.g., doubling the number of pounds of mass in relation to the ash). Thus, to get the ash content on green basis, the value for the oven-dry basis is divided by half. Actual moisture content of green material may differ from a moisture content of 50-percent green basis.

<sup>b</sup> The conversion to standard international units was made by multiplying the value of British thermal units by 1054.35 to get the value of megajoules. This number was then divided by 2.55 to convert from a cord to cubic meters of solid material. One cord contains 3.62 m<sup>3</sup>, based on 90 ft<sup>3</sup> of solid material. AD = air-dry.

<sup>c</sup> No citation was listed in the original publication.

## Hardwoods

The data for northwestern hardwoods listed below are presented in six tables. The physical properties are given in table 9 for the bark and table 10 for the wood. Table 11 provides the heating values, ash content, and analysis of the bark of the hardwoods as per the original publication. Table 12 provides these same properties but converted into metric units and ash content on wet basis. Table 13 provides the heating values and ash content of the wood of the hardwood species. Like table 12, table 14 shows the data converted into metric units and ash content on wet basis.

## Hardwood species

Scientific name	Common name
<i>Acer macrophyllum</i> Pursh	Bigleaf maple
<i>Alnus rhombifolia</i> Nutt.	White alder
<i>Alnus rubra</i> Bong.	Red alder
<i>Arbutus menziesii</i> Pursh	Pacific madrone
<i>Betula papyrifera</i> Marsh.	Paper birch
<i>Chrysolepis chrysophylla</i> (Douglas ex Hook.) Hjelmqvist	Giant chinquapin, golden chinquapin
<i>Castanopsis chrysophylla</i> (Douglas ex Hook.) Hjelmqvist var. <i>chrysophylla</i>	Giant chinquapin, golden chinquapin
<i>Cornus nuttallii</i> Audubon ex Torr. & A. Gray	Pacific dogwood
<i>Fraxinus latifolia</i> Benth.	Oregon ash
<i>Lithocarpus densiflorus</i> (Hook. & Arn.) Rehder	Tanoak
<i>Populus balsamifera</i> L. ssp. <i>trichocarpa</i> (Torr. & A. Gray ex Hook.) Brayshaw	Black cottonwood
<i>Populus fremontii</i> S. Watson	Fremont cottonwood
<i>Populus tremuloides</i> Michx.	Quaking aspen
<i>Populus trichocarpa</i> Torr. & A. Gray ex Hook.	Black cottonwood
<i>Quercus agrifolia</i> Nee	California coastal live oak
<i>Quercus chrysolepis</i> Liebm.	Canyon live oak
<i>Quercus douglasii</i> Hook. & Arn.	Blue oak
<i>Quercus garryana</i> Douglas ex Hook.	Oregon white oak
<i>Quercus kelloggii</i> Newberry	California black oak
<i>Quercus lobata</i> Nee	Valley oak, California white oak
<i>Quercus wislizeni</i> A. DC.	Interior live oak
<i>Umbellularia californica</i> (Hook. & Arn.) Nutt.	California laurel, Oregon myrtle, California bay

Table 9—Physical properties of northwestern hardwoods (bark)

Scientific name	Common name	Specific gravity		Moisture content			Bark by volume	
		Value <sup>a</sup>	Citation number	Oven-dry basis	Total-weight basis	Citation number	Value	Citation number
<i>Acer macrophyllum</i>	Bigleaf maple							
	Inner bark	0.66	73	134	57	73	7.8-10.5	16,21
	Outer bark	.45	73	70.1	41.2	73		
	Mixed	.56	21	60.5	37.7	21		
<i>Alnus rhombifolia</i>	Mixed	.55 <sup>b</sup>	27					
	White alder							
<i>Alnus rubra</i>	Red alder							
	Inner bark	.52	73	87.8	46.8	73	13.5	16
	Outer bark	.62	73	66.0	39.8	73		
Mixed	.58	26						
<i>Arbutus menziesii</i>	Pacific madrone							
<i>Betula papyrifera</i>	Paper birch							
	Inner bark	.57-.63	30,73	67.7	40.4	73	8.0-15.7	16,74,84
	Outer bark	.54-.66	30,73	22.5	18.4	73		
	Mixed	.56	30					
	Mixed	.69 <sup>b</sup>	27					
<i>Castanopsis chrysophylla</i>	Giant chinquapin						11.1	84
<i>Cornus nuttallii</i>	Pacific dogwood							
<i>Fraxinus latifolia</i>	Oregon ash	.50 <sup>b</sup>	27					
<i>Lithocarpus densiflorus</i>	Tanoak	.75 <sup>b</sup>	27				15.7	81
<i>Populus fremontii</i>	Fremont cottonwood							
<i>Populus tremuloides</i>	Quaking aspen			65.1-67.2	39.4-40.2	48	8.9-16.5	16,48,81
	Inner bark	.4	30					
	Outer bark	.55	30					
	Mixed	.50	25,30					
Mixed	.61-.73 <sup>b</sup>	27						



Table 9—Physical properties of northwestern hardwoods (bark) (continued)

Scientific name	Common name	Specific gravity		Moisture content			Bark by volume	
		Value <sup>a</sup>	Citation number	Oven-dry basis	Total-weight basis	Citation number	Value	Citation number
<i>Populus trichocarpa</i>	Black cottonwood							
	Inner bark	.38-.41	30,73	130	57	73		
	Outer bark	.42-.44	30,73	77.4	43.6	73		
	Mixed	.40-.46	26,30,74					
	Mixed	.60 <sup>b</sup>	27					
<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	California coastal live oak							
<i>Quercus chrysolepis</i>	Canyon live oak							
<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	Blue oak							
<i>Quercus garryana</i>	Oregon white oak	.80 <sup>b</sup>	27					
<i>Quercus kelloggii</i>	California black oak							
<i>Quercus lobata</i>	Valley oak	.66 <sup>b</sup>	27					
<i>Quercus wislizeni</i>	Interior live oak							
<i>Umbellularia californica</i>	California laurel							

<sup>a</sup> Green volume, oven-dry weight unless otherwise noted.

<sup>b</sup> Oven-dry volume, oven-dry weight.

-----Percent-----

18.3 16

Table 10—Physical properties of northwestern hardwoods (wood)

Scientific name	Common name	Specific gravity			Moisture content										
		Value <sup>a</sup>	Citation number	OD basis	Sapwood		Heartwood		Mixed or unspecified						
					TW basis	Citation number	OD basis	TW basis	Citation number	OD basis	TW basis	Citation number			
<i>Acer macrophyllum</i>	Bigleaf maple	0.44-0.62	20,21,49												
<i>Alnus rhombifolia</i>	White alder	.41 <sup>b</sup>	64												
<i>Alnus rubra</i>	Red alder	.37-.41	20,49,81	97	49	57									
<i>Arbutus menziesii</i>	Pacific madrone	.54-.58	49,65,80												
<i>Betula papyrifera</i>	Paper (white) birch	48-.52	16,20,37,49,84	72	42	16,57	74-89	43-47	16,57						
<i>Castanopsis chrysophylla</i>	Giant chinquapin														
	Sapwood	.40-.41	63												
	Heartwood	.42	63												
	Mixed	.41-.43	49,63,80,84												
<i>Cornus nuttallii</i>	Pacific dogwood	.58	49												
<i>Fraxinus latifolia</i>	Oregon ash	.50	49												
<i>Lithocarpus densiflorus</i>	Tanoak	.48-.62	19,54,62,67,80,81,86												
<i>Populus fremontii</i>	Fremont cottonwood	.41	17												
<i>Populus tremulooides</i>	Quaking aspen	.33-.39	20,37,49,81	113	53	57	95	49	57						
<i>Populus trichocarpa</i>	Black cottonwood	.28-.40	37,47,49	146	59	57	162	62	57						
<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	California coastal live oak	.83 <sup>b</sup>	64												
<i>Quercus chrysolepis</i>	Canyon live oak	.70	49												
<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	Blue oak	.64	81												
<i>Quercus garryana</i>	Oregon white oak	.63-.64	49,55												
<i>Quercus kelloggii</i>	California black oak	.46-.51	49,66,81	75	43	57	76	43	57						
<i>Quercus lobata</i>	Valley oak	.53-.58	55,69,81												
<i>Quercus wislizeni</i>	Interior live oak	.79 <sup>b</sup>	64												
<i>Umbellularia californica</i>	California laurel	.51-.59	49,80												

Note: OD = oven-dry, TW = total weight.

<sup>a</sup> Green volume, oven-dry weight unless otherwise noted.<sup>b</sup> Oven-dry volume, oven-dry weight.

Table 11—Higher heating values and ash content of northwestern hardwoods (bark)

Scientific name	Common name	Heating value		Analysis			
		Value	Citation number	Ash <sup>a</sup>	Citation number	Carbon Hydrogen Oxygen Nitrogen Sulfur	
						Percent	Percent
		<i>Btu/OD lb</i>					
<i>Acer macrophyllum</i>	Bigleaf maple	8,277	21	6.9	21		
<i>Alnus rhombifolia</i>	White alder						
<i>Alnus rubra</i>	Red alder	8,406-8,760 7,947 <sup>b</sup>	9,26,60 8	2.4-5.9	8,30,61	46.03 (C) 5.94 (H) .6 (N)	77
<i>Arbutus menziesii</i>	Pacific madrone						
<i>Betula papyrifera</i>	Paper birch	9,490- 10,310 9,434 <sup>c</sup>	9,52 8	1.5-2.4	8,30,52	57.4 (C) 6.7 (H) 33.8 (O) .3 (N) 0 (S)	52
<i>Castanopsis chrysophylla</i>	Giant chinquapin						
<i>Cornus nuttallii</i>	Pacific dogwood						
<i>Fraxinus latifolia</i>	Oregon ash						
<i>Lithocarpus densiflorus</i>	Tanoak						
<i>Populus fremontii</i>	Fremont cottonwood						
<i>Populus tremuloides</i>	Quaking aspen	8,430-8,712 8,433 <sup>d</sup>	9,25,30 8	2.8	8		

Table 11—Higher heating values and ash content of northwestern hardwoods (bark) (continued)

Scientific name	Common name	Heating value		Citation number	Ash <sup>a</sup>	Citation number	Analysis							
		Value	Citation number				Percent	Carbon	Hydrogen	Oxygen	Nitrogen	Sulfur	Citation number	
														Btu/OD lb
<i>Populus trichocarpa</i>	Black cottonwood	8,765-9,000	16,26,60	5.0	30									
<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	California coastal live oak													
<i>Quercus chrysolepis</i>	Canyon live oak													
<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	Blue oak													
<i>Quercus garryana</i>	Oregon white oak													
<i>Quercus kelloggii</i>	California black oak													
<i>Quercus lobata</i>	Valley oak													
<i>Quercus wislizeni</i>	Interior live oak													
<i>Umbellularia californica</i>	California laurel													

<sup>a</sup> Ash content on oven-dry basis.

<sup>b</sup> British thermal units per pound, at 5.8 percent moisture content.

<sup>c</sup> British thermal units per pound, at 4.8 percent moisture content.

<sup>d</sup> British thermal units per pound, at 5.5 percent moisture content.

Table 12—Higher heating values and ash content of northwestern hardwoods (bark) in International System of units (SI)

Scientific name	Common name	Heating value		Ash <sup>a</sup>	Citation number	Analysis							
		Value	Citation number			Carbon	Hydrogen	Oxygen	Nitrogen	Sulfur	Citation number		
												Percent	
		<i>Megajoules per kilogram</i>		<i>Percent</i>									
<i>Acer macrophyllum</i>	Bigleaf maple	19.25	21	3.45	21								
<i>Alnus rhombifolia</i>	White alder												
<i>Alnus rubra</i>	Red alder	19.55-20.38 18.48	9,26,60 8	1.2-2.95	8,30,61	46.03 (C)	5.94 (H)	.6 (N)				77	
<i>Arbutus menziesii</i>	Pacific madrone												
<i>Betula papyrifera</i>	Paper birch	22.07-23.98 21.94	9,52 8	.75-1.2	8,30,52	57.4 (C)	6.7 (H)	33.8 (O)	.3 (N)	0 (S)		52	
<i>Castanopsis chrysophylla</i>	Giant chinquapin												
<i>Cornus nuttallii</i>	Pacific dogwood												
<i>Fraxinus latifolia</i>	Oregon ash												
<i>Lithocarpus densiflorus</i>	Tanoak												
<i>Populus fremontii</i>	Fremont cottonwood												
<i>Populus tremuloides</i>	Quaking aspen	19.61-20.26 19.62	9,25,30 8	1.4	8								

**Table 12—Higher heating values and ash content of northwestern hardwoods (bark) in International System of units (SI) (continued)**

Scientific name	Common name	Heating value		Ash <sup>a</sup>	Citation number	Analysis							
		Value	Citation number			Carbon	Hydrogen	Oxygen	Nitrogen	Sulfur	Citation number		
												Percent	Percent
<i>Populus trichocarpa</i>	Black cottonwood	20.39-20.93	16,26,60	2.5	30								
<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	California coastal live oak												
<i>Quercus chrysolepis</i>	Canyon live oak												
<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	Blue oak												
<i>Quercus garryana</i>	Oregon white oak												
<i>Quercus kelloggii</i>	California black oak												
<i>Quercus lobata</i>	Valley oak												
<i>Quercus wislizeni</i>	Interior live oak												
<i>Umbellularia californica</i>	California laurel												

<sup>a</sup>Ash content on total weight or green basis. This value was calculated by assuming an increase in mass from oven-dry to 50-percent moisture content green basis. This mass increase would all be water and the amount of ash would remain the same (e.g., doubling the number of pounds of mass in relation to the ash). Thus, to get the ash content on green basis, the value for the oven-dry basis is divided by half. Actual moisture content of green material may differ from a moisture content of 50-percent green basis.

Table 13—Higher heating values and ash content of northwestern hardwoods (wood)

Scientific name	Common name	By volume		By weight		Ash <sup>a</sup>
		Value	Citation number	Value	Citation number	
		<i>Million Btu per AD cord</i>		<i>Btu per OD lb</i>		<i>Percent</i>
<i>Acer macrophyllum</i>	Bigleaf maple			8,140-8,410	13,16,21	0.26-0.54
<i>Alnus rhombifolia</i>	White alder					.31
<i>Alnus rubra</i>	Red alder	17.4	24	7,990-8,000	9,16	.23-2.00
<i>Arbutus menziesii</i>	Pacific madrone					.09-.40
<i>Betula papyrifera</i>	Paper birch	23.4	24	8,334	79	
	Sapwood					.24
	Heartwood					.21
	Mixed					.2-.4
<i>Castanopsis chrysophylla</i>	Giant chinquapin					.30-.35
<i>Cornus nuttallii</i>	Pacific dogwood					.22-.50
<i>Fraxinus latifolia</i>	Oregon ash			8,200	92	.34
<i>Lithocarpus densiflorus</i>	Tanoak					.31-1.49
<i>Populus fremontii</i>	Fremont cottonwood					.77-1.13
<i>Populus tremuloides</i>	Quaking aspen	17.7	24	5,785 <sup>b</sup>	30	.2-2.1
<i>Populus trichocarpa</i>	Black cottonwood					.09-.15 (N) <sup>c</sup>
<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	California coastal live oak	15.5	24	8,800	16	.3-1.27
						1.28
<i>Quercus chrysolepis</i>	Canyon live oak					.60
<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	Blue oak					.84-1.4
<i>Quercus garryana</i>	Oregon white oak			8,110	13	.39
<i>Quercus kelloggii</i>	California black oak					.26-.40
<i>Quercus lobata</i>	Valley oak					.3-.9
<i>Quercus wislizeni</i>	Interior live oak					1.02
<i>Umbellularia californica</i>	California laurel					.16-.39

Note: AD = air-dry, OD = oven-dry.

<sup>a</sup> Ash content on oven-dry basis.

<sup>b</sup> British thermal units per air-dry pound.

<sup>c</sup> Percentage nitrogen also provided for quaking aspen.

**Table 14—Higher heating values and ash content of northwestern hardwoods (wood) in International System of units (SI)**

Scientific name	Common name	By volume		By weight		Ash <sup>a</sup>
		Value <sup>b</sup> <i>Megajoules per solid AD cubic meter</i>	Citation number	Value <i>Megajoules per kilogram</i>	Citation number	
<i>Acer macrophyllum</i>	Bigleaf maple			18.93-19.56	13,16,21	0.13-0.27
<i>Alnus rhombifolia</i>	White alder					.155
<i>Alnus rubra</i>	Red alder	7194.4	24	18.58-18.61	9,16	.115-1.00
<i>Arbutus menziesii</i>	Pacific madrone					.045-.20
<i>Betula papyrifera</i>	Paper birch	9675.2	24	19.38	79	
	Sapwood					.12
	Heartwood					.105
	Mixed					.1-.2
<i>Castanopsis chrysophylla</i>	Giant chinquapin					.15-.175
<i>Cornus nuttallii</i>	Pacific dogwood					.11-.25
<i>Fraxinus latifolia</i>	Oregon ash			19.07	92	.17
<i>Lithocarpus densiflorus</i>	Tanoak					.155-.745
<i>Populus fremontii</i>	Fremont cottonwood					.385-.565
<i>Populus tremuloides</i>	Quaking aspen	7318.4	24	13.46	30	.1-1.05
						.09-.15 (N) <sup>c</sup>
<i>Populus trichocarpa</i>	Black cottonwood	6408.8	24	20.47	16	.3-1.27
<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	California coastal live oak					.64



Table 14—Higher heating values and ash content of northwestern hardwoods (wood) in International System of units (SI) (continued)

Scientific name	Common name	By volume		By weight		Ash <sup>a</sup>	
		Value <sup>b</sup> <i>Megajoules per solid AD cubic meter</i>	Citation number	Value <i>Megajoules per kilogram</i>	Citation number	Value <i>Percent</i>	Citation number
<i>Quercus chrysolepis</i>	Canyon live oak					.30	64
<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	Blue oak					.42-.7	64,81
<i>Quercus garryana</i>	Oregon white oak			18.86	13	.195	64
<i>Quercus kelloggii</i>	California black oak					.13-.20	64,81
<i>Quercus lobata</i>	Valley oak					.15-.45	64,81
<i>Quercus wislizeni</i>	Interior live oak					.51	64
<i>Umbellularia californica</i>	California laurel					.08-.195	40,64

<sup>a</sup> Ash content on total weight or green basis. This value was calculated by assuming an increase in mass from oven-dry to 50-percent moisture content green basis. This mass increase would all be water and the amount of ash would remain the same (e.g., doubling the number of pounds of mass in relation to the ash). Thus, to get the ash content on green basis, the value for the oven-dry basis is divided by half. Actual moisture content of green material may differ from a moisture content of 50-percent green basis.

<sup>b</sup> The conversion to standard international units was made by multiplying the value of British thermal units by 1054.35 to get the value of megajoules. This number was then divided by 2.55 to convert from a cord to cubic meters of solid material. AD = air-dry.

<sup>c</sup> Percentage nitrogen also provided for quaking aspen.

## Acknowledgments<sup>4</sup>

The editors acknowledge the authors, the original research, and the publication by the Forest Research Laboratory at Oregon State University. Their work provided the basis for this update.

The authors thank the following reviewers: R.M. Kellogg, Wood Science Department, Forintek Canada Corp., Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada; J.J. Karchesy, R.L. Krahmer, M.L. Laver, and M.D. McKimmy, College of Forestry, Oregon State University, Corvallis; J.I. Zerbe, Forest Products Laboratory, USDA Forest Service, Madison, Wisconsin; J.O. Howard and W.Y. Pong, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, USDA Forest Service, Portland, Oregon; D.G. Arganbright, W.A. Dost, and A.P. Schniewind, Forest Products Laboratory, University of California, Richmond; L.R. Johnson and A.A. Moslemi, College of Forestry, Wildlife, and Range Sciences, University of Idaho, Moscow; R.W. Smith, College of Forest Resources, University of Washington, Seattle.

## Metric Equivalents

<b>When you know:</b>	<b>Multiply by:</b>	<b>To find:</b>
British thermal units (Btu)	0.252	Kilocalories
British thermal units (Btu)	1054.35	Joule
British thermal units per pound (Btu/lb)	.002326	Megajoules per kilogram
British thermal units per air-dry cord (Btu/AD cord)	413.47	Megajoules per AD cubic meter
Feet (ft)	.305	Meters
Cubic feet (ft <sup>3</sup> )	.0283	Cubic meters
Pounds (lb)	.454	Kilograms
Pounds per cubic foot (lb/ft <sup>3</sup> )	16.02	Kilograms per cubic meter
Degrees Fahrenheit (°F)	.56(°F - 32)	Degrees Celsius

<sup>4</sup> The second paragraph gives the original Acknowledgments; thus, some of the affiliations and employments have changed since 1987.

## Literature Cited

- 1 **Arola, R.A. 1976.** Wood fuels—how do they stack up? In: Saeman, J.F., ed. Proceedings of the Forest Research Society, Energy and the Wood Products Industry. No. P-76-14. Madison, WI: Forest Products Research Society: 34–35.
- 2 **Babcock and Wilcox Company. 1972.** Sources of chemical energy. In: Steam: its generation and use. 38<sup>th</sup> ed. New York: Babcock and Wilcox Company: 5–23.
- 3 **Bendtsen, B.A. 1966.** Strength and related properties of a randomly selected sample of second-growth redwood. Res. Pap. FPL-RP-53. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. 16 p.
- 4 **Bendtsen, B.A. 1973.** Mechanical properties and specific gravity of randomly sampled subalpine fir. Res. Pap. FPL-RP-197. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. 12 p.
- 5 **Bendtsen, B.A.; Wahlgren, H.E. 1970.** Mechanical properties and specific gravity of a randomly selected sample of Engelmann spruce. Res. Pap. FPL-RP-128. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. 12 p.
- 6 **Bray, M.W.; Martin, J.S. 1947.** Sulfate pulping of Douglas-fir, western hemlock, Pacific silver fir and western redcedar logging and sawmill waste. Rep. R-1641. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. 10 p.
- 7 **Cassens, D.L. 1974.** Bark properties of eight western softwoods. Forest Products Journal. 24(4): 40–45.
- 8 **Chang, Y.; Mitchell, R.L. 1955.** Chemical composition of common North American pulpwood barks. Technical Association of the Pulp and Paper Industry. 38(5): 315–320.
- 9 **Cheremisnoff, N.P. 1980.** Wood for energy production. Energy Technology Series. Ann Arbor, MI: Ann Arbor Science Publishers, Inc. 160 p.

- 10 Clermont, L.P.; Schwartz, H. 1951.** The chemical composition of Canadian woods. *Pulp and Paper Magazine of Canada*. 52(13): 103–105.
- 11 Cockrell, R.A. 1959.** Mechanical properties of California-grown Monterey pine. *Hilgardia*. 28(8): 227–238.
- 12 Cockrell, R.A.; Knudson, R.M. 1973.** A comparison of static bending, compression, and tension parallel to grain and toughness properties of compression wood and normal wood of a giant sequoia. *Wood Science and Technology*. 7(4): 241–250.
- 13 Corder, S.E. 1973.** Wood and bark as fuel. Res. Bull. 14. Corvallis, OR: Forest Research Laboratory, Oregon State University. 28 p.
- 14 Corder, S.E.; Atherton, G.H.; Hyde, P.E.; Bonlie, R.W. 1970.** Wood and bark residue disposal in wigwam burners. Res. Bull. 11. Corvallis, OR: Forest Research Laboratory, Oregon State University. 68 p.
- 15 De Lorenzi, O., ed. 1949.** Combustion engineering: a reference book on fuel burning and steam generation. New York: Combustion Engineering-Superheater, Inc. 750 p.
- 16 Dobie, J.; Wright, D.M. 1975.** Conversion factors for the forest products industry in western Canada. Info. Rep. VP-X-97. Vancouver, BC: Western Forest Products Laboratory. 92 p.
- 17 Dost, W.A.; Gorvad, M. 1976.** A limited investigation of the strength of Fremont cottonwood (*Populus fremontii* Wats.). Tech. Rep. 35.01.150. Richmond, CA: University of California, Forest Products Laboratory. [Pages unknown].
- 18 Ellis, E.L. 1962.** Inorganic constituents of wood. *Forest Products Journal*. 12(6): 271–274.
- 19 Espenas, L.D. 1953.** The seasoning of one-inch tanoak lumber. Bull. 3. Corvallis, OR: Oregon Forest Products Laboratory, Oregon State College. 46 p.
- 20 Forest Products Laboratories of Canada. 1956.** Strength and related properties of woods grown in Canada. Tech. Note 3. Ottawa, ON: Forest Products Laboratories. [Pages unknown].
- 21 Funck, J.W.; Hoag, M.L. 1985.** Characteristics of logging residues from Oregon old-growth stands. *Forest Products Journal*. 35(6): 33–40.

- 22 Gerhards, C.C. 1964.** Strength and related properties of white fir. Res. Pap. FPL-RP-14. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. 12 p.
- 23 Gerhards, C.C. 1965.** Strength and related properties of western hemlock. Res. Pap. FPL-RP-28. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. [Pages unknown].
- 24 Hale, J.D. 1933.** Heating value of wood fuels. Ottawa, ON: Canada Department of Resources and Development, Forestry Branch, Forest Products Laboratories Division. 13 p.
- 25 Harder, M.L.; Einspahr, D.W. 1976.** Bark fuel value of important pulpwood species. Technical Association of the Pulp and Paper Industry. 59(12): 132.
- 26 Harder, M.L.; Einspahr, D.W. 1978.** An update of bark fuel values of important pulpwood species. Technical Association of the Pulp and Paper Industry. 61(12): 87–88.
- 27 Harkin, J.M.; Rowe, J.W. 1971.** Bark and its possible uses. Rev. Res. Note FPL-RN-091. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. 56 p.
- 28 Hyttinen, A.; Schaefer, E.R. 1959.** Groundwood pulping of white fir and corkbark fir. Rep. FPL-R-2175. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. 4 p.
- 29 Isenberg, I.H. 1980.** Pulpwoods of the United States and Canada, Volume 1-Conifers. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Rev. by M.L. Harder and L. Loudon. Appleton, WI: The Institute of Paper Chemistry. 219 p.
- 30 Isenberg, I.H. 1981.** Pulpwoods of the United States and Canada, Volume 2-Hardwoods. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Rev. by M.L. Harder and L. Loudon. Appleton, WI: The Institute of Paper Chemistry. 168 p.
- 31 Johnson, A.J.; Auth, G.H., eds. 1951.** Fuels and combustion handbook. New York: McGraw-Hill. 915 p.
- 32 Karchesy, J.; Koch, P. 1979.** Energy production from hardwoods growing on southern pine sites. Gen. Tech. Rep. SO-24. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station. 59 p.

- 33 Kasper, J.B.; Szabo, T. 1970.** The physical and mechanical properties of whitebark pine. *The Forestry Chronicle*. 46(4): 315–316.
- 34 Keenan, F.J.; Glavicic, M.R.; Swindle, P.W.; Cooper, P.A. 1970.** Mechanical properties of whitebark pine. *The Forestry Chronicle*. 46(4): 322–325.
- 35 Keller, E.L.; McGovern, J.N. 1945.** Sulfite pulping of western redcedar. Rep. No. R1494. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. 11 p.
- 36 Kelsey, R.G.; Shafizadeh, F.; Lowery, D.P. 1979.** Heat content of bark, twigs, and foliage of nine species of western conifers. Res. Note INT-RN-261. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 7 p.
- 37 Kennedy, E.I. 1965.** Strength and related properties of woods grown in Canada. Publ. 1104. Ottawa, ON: Canada Department of Forestry and Urban Development, Forestry Branch. 20 p.
- 38 Kester, R.A.; Pilat, M.J. 1980.** Nitrogen oxide emissions from a pilot plant spreader-stoker bark-fired boiler. *Technical Association of the Pulp and Paper Industry*. 63(2): 159–161.
- 39 Kozlik, C.J. 1976.** Kiln drying of western juniper. *Forest Products Journal*. 26(8): 45–46.
- 40 Kurth, E.F. 1948.** Chemical analysis of western woods. Part I. *Paper Trade Journal*. 126(6): 56–58.
- 41 Kurth, E.F. 1949.** The chemical analysis of western woods. Part II, Douglas-fir bark analysis. *Technical Association of the Pulp and Paper Industry*. 32(4): 175–176.
- 42 Kurth, E.F. 1950.** The chemical analysis of western woods. Part III. *Technical Association of the Pulp and Paper Industry*. 33(10): 507–508.
- 43 Laundrie, J.F. 1967.** Kraft pulping of digger and knobcone pine. Res. Note FPL-0181. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. 3 p.

- 44 Lieu, P.J.; Kelsey, R.G.; Shafizadeh, F. 1979.** Some chemical characteristics of green and dead lodgepole pine and western white pine. Res. Note INT-RN-256. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 8 p.
- 45 Little, E.L., Jr. 1979.** Checklist of United States trees (native and naturalized). Agric. Handb. 541. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 375 p.
- 46 MacKay, J.F.G. 1974.** High temperature kiln drying of northern aspen 2- by 4-inch light framing lumber. Forest Products Journal. 24(10): 32–35.
- 47 Maeglin, R.R.; Wahlgren, H.E. 1972.** Western wood density survey report No. 2. Res. Pap. FPL-RP-183. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. 24 p.
- 48 Marden, R.M.; Lothner, D.C.; Kallio, E. 1975.** Wood and bark percentages and moisture contents of Minnesota pulpwood species. Res. Pap. NC-114. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station. 9 p.
- 49 Markwardt, L.J.; Wilson, T.R.C. 1935.** Strength and related properties of woods grown in the United States. Tech. Bull. 479. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. 99 p.
- 50 Martin, J.S. 1951.** Sulfate pulping of ponderosa pine thinnings. Rep. No. 1909. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. 6 p.
- 51 Meyer, R.W.; Kellogg, R.M.; Warren, W.G. 1981.** Relative density, equilibrium moisture content, and dimension stability of western hemlock bark. Wood and Fiber. 13(2): 86–96.
- 52 Millikin, D.E. 1955.** Determination of bark volumes and fuel properties. Pulp and Paper Magazine of Canada. 56(13): 106–108.
- 53 Mingle, J.G.; Boubel, R.W. 1968.** Proximate fuel analysis of some western wood and bark. Wood Science. 1(1): 29–36.
- 54 Paul, B.H.; Dohr, A.W.; Drow, J.T. 1955.** Specific gravity, shrinkage, and strength of tanoak. Rep. No. 2041. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. 16 p.

- 55 Paul, B.H.; Dohr, A.W.; Drow, J.T. 1958.** Some properties of California white oak and Oregon white oak. Rep. No. 2135. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. 14 p.
- 56 Paul, B.H.; Dohr, A.W.; Drow, J.T. 1959.** Some physical and mechanical properties of noble fir. Rep. No. 2168. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. 14 p.
- 57 Peck, E.C. 1953.** The sap or moisture in wood. Rep. FPL-R-D768. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. 14 p.
- 58 Pemberton, J.E., Jr. 1924.** The relation of bark to diameter and volume in redwood. *Journal of Forestry*. 22(1): 44–48.
- 59 Piirto, D.D.; Wilcox, W.W. 1981.** Comparative properties of old and young growth giant sequoia of potential significance to wood utilization. Bull. 1901. Berkeley, CA: Division of Agricultural Sciences, University of California. 4 p.
- 60 Pingrey, D.W. 1976.** Forest products energy overview. In: Saeman, J.F. Energy and the wood products industry. Proceedings P-76-14. Madison, WI: Forest Products Research Society: 1–14.
- 61 Rader Systems, Inc. 1978.** Energy production from wood residues. Report 7808. Portland, OR. [Pages unknown].
- 62 Randall, C.A. 1956.** Strength and related properties of tanoak. *Journal of Forestry*. 54(7): 458–462.
- 63 Resch, H.; Huang, S. 1965.** Properties and drying procedures for chinkapin in California. California Forestry and Forest Products 40. Berkeley, CA: University of California, Forest Products Laboratory. [Pages unknown].
- 64 Sargent, C.S. 1885.** The woods of the United States. New York: D. Appleton and Company. 203 p.
- 65 Schniewind, A.P. 1957.** The strength and related properties of Pacific madrone. I. General description and strength properties in the green condition. California Forestry and Forest Products 3. Berkeley, CA: University of California, Forest Products Laboratory. [Pages unknown].



- 66 Schniewind, A.P. 1958a.** The strength and related properties of California black oak. I. General description and strength properties in the green condition. California Forestry and Forest Products 6. Berkeley, CA: University of California, Forest Products Laboratory. [Pages unknown].
- 67 Schniewind, A.P. 1958b.** The strength and related properties of tanoak. I. General description and strength properties in the green condition. California Forestry and Forest Products 7. Berkeley, CA: University of California, Forest Products Laboratory. [Pages unknown].
- 68 Schniewind, A.P. 1961.** The effect of site and other factors on specific gravity and bending strength of California red fir. Forest Science. 7(2): 106–115.
- 69 Schniewind, A.P.; Bryan, E.L. 1959.** Some strength and related properties of California white oak. No. 13. Berkeley, CA: California Forestry and Forest Products. Agriculture Experiment Station, University of California. 6 p.
- 70 Schniewind, A.P.; Gammon, B. 1978.** Some strength properties of digger pine. Wood and Fiber. 9(4): 289–294.
- 71 Schniewind, A.P.; Gammon, B. 1980.** Strength and related properties of bishop pine. I. Strength of “blue race” bishop pine from three locations. Wood and Fiber. 12(2): 131–141.
- 72 Schniewind, A.P.; Gammon, B. 1983.** Strength and related properties of knobcone pine. Wood and Fiber Science. 15(1): 2–7.
- 73 Smith, J.H.G.; Kozak, A. 1971.** Thickness, moisture content, and specific gravity of inner and outer bark of some Pacific Northwest trees. Forest Products Journal. 21(2): 38–40.
- 74 Smith, J.H.G.; Kurucz, J. 1969.** Amounts of bark potentially available from some commercial trees of British Columbia. Meeting of the Northwest Scientific Association, Cheney, Washington. Vancouver, BC: University of British Columbia: 1–8.
- 75 Smith, W.J. 1970.** Wood density survey in western Canada. Information Report VP-X-66. Vancouver, BC: Forest Products Laboratory. 29 p.
- 76 Snell, J.A.K.; Max, T.A. 1982.** Bark-to-wood ratios for logging residue in Oregon, Washington, and Idaho. Admin. Rep. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 9 p.

- 77 Soria, J.A. [N.d.].** An analysis of moisture content and energy values from fire killed trees in central Alaska. Manuscript in preparation. On file with: J.A. Soria, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, Palmer Research Station, 1509 S. Trunk Road, Palmer, AK 99645.
- 78 Stillinger, J.R. 1953.** Strength and related properties of second-growth Port Orford white-cedar. *Journal of Forestry*. 51(8): 555–559.
- 79 Tillman, D.A. 1978.** Wood as an energy resource. New York: Academic Press. 266 p.
- 80 Torgeson, O.W. 1950.** Kiln drying schedules for 1-inch laurel, madrone, tanoak, and chinquapin. Rep. No. 1684. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. 24 p.
- 81 U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. [N.d.a].** Physical characteristics and chemical analysis of certain domestic hardwoods received at the Forest Products Laboratory for pulping October 1948 to November 1957. Res. Note PP-110. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. [Pages unknown].
- 82 U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. [N.d.b].** Physical characteristics and chemical analysis of certain domestic pine woods received at the Forest Products Laboratory for pulping from October 1, 1948 to September 4, 1956. Res. Note PP-112. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. [Pages unknown].
- 83 U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. [N.d.c].** Physical characteristics and chemical analysis of certain softwoods (other than pine) received at the Forest Products Laboratory October 1, 1948 to August 7, 1957. Res. Note PP-114. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. [Pages unknown].
- 84 U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. [N.d.d].** Summary of certain physical properties of domestic hardwoods and foreign woods used in pulping experiments at the Forest Products Laboratory—July 1927 to December 1940. Rep. PP-107. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. [Pages unknown].

- 85 U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. [N.d.e].** Summary of certain physical properties of softwoods (except pines) used in pulping experiments at the Forest Products Laboratory—July 1927 to July 1935. Rep. PP-108. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. [Pages unknown].
- 86 U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 1967.** Veneer cutting and drying properties of tanoak. Res. Note FPL-RN-0164. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. [Pages unknown].
- 87 U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service [USDA FS]. 1999.** Wood handbook—wood as an engineering material. Gen. Tech. Rep. FPL-GTR-113. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. 463 p.
- 88 U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service [USDA NRCS]. 2009.** The PLANTS database. Baton Rouge, LA: National Plant Data Center. <http://plants.usda.gov>. (3 April 2009).
- 89 Voorhies, G.; Huntsberger, T.G. 1983.** Heat and combustion of ponderosa pine and selected associated species indigenous to the Rocky Mountain region. Arizona Forestry Notes 19. Flagstaff, AZ: Northern Arizona University. 14 p.
- 90 Wilcox, W.W.; Pong, W.Y. 1971.** The effects of height, radial position, and wetwood on white fir wood properties. *Wood and Fiber*. 3(1): 47–55.
- 91 Wilde, S.A.; Paul, B.H. 1959.** Growth, specific gravity, and chemical composition of quaking aspen on different soil types. Rep. No. 2144. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. 10 p.
- 92 Willey, E.C. 1942.** Saving fuel in Oregon homes. Circular Series 7. Corvallis, OR: Engineering Experiment Station, Oregon State College. 36 p.
- 93 Youngs, R.L. 1963.** Strength and related properties of mountain hemlock. Res. Pap. FPL-3. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory. 12 p.



## Appendix

### Explanation of Conversions Made in the Updated Report and Editors Comment

In the original publication, the term total weight (TW) was used to define the initial (fresh or green) weight of the sample before drying, and all moisture contents were reported by using an oven-dry (OD) basis. Given that energy-related conversions use green-weight moisture contents, this revised publication provides OD moisture contents converted to green basis (assumed to be 50-percent moisture content TW) in the tables. It was determined that having ash content reported as a percentage of green weight as well as a percentage of OD weight would also be helpful.

Wood is hygroscopic, meaning it has the ability to exchange (absorb or desorb) moisture with its surrounding environment. Once the wood has been converted from the living tree to product form (e.g., firewood, chips, lumber, or veneer), the inorganic components that create ash are fixed and, for most analytical purposes, constant for a given piece of material. The weight of the material per unit of volume, however, will fluctuate as moisture is exchanged with the atmosphere.

Determination of moisture content (MC) of wood and bark is a relatively simple matter of taking a sample, weighing it, drying it in an oven at a temperature of  $103 \pm 2$  °C ( $217 \pm 4$  °F), and periodically reweighing the material until a stable weight is obtained. At the point the material is referred to as “bone” or “oven” dry, all of the free water between cells and bound water from the interior of the cells has been removed. This condition is also referred to as zero percent MC. There is still water that is bound to the chemical structure of the wood, but removal of this material requires temperatures above the boiling point of water; as the material is removed, the chemical structure of the wood material is altered. This process is referred to as destructive distillation and is often accompanied by a charring of the material.

Moisture meters can also be used to measure the moisture content of wood. These meters, however, are based on resistance, dielectric constant, or power loss factor. The point in drying where resistance starts to increase is referred to as the fiber saturation point (fsp). In changes in moisture content above the fsp, there is no shrinkage or expansion in the physical dimensions of a piece of solid wood, lumber, veneer, or bark. As changes take place below the fsp, the physical dimensions of the material will change. These changes in dimension, however, are relatively minor and inconsequential in relation to the changes in mass, unless

the material is being used for finish in a heated or air conditioned building. In such situations, material should be conditioned so that the material has an MC very near the equilibrium conditions where it will be put into service. Note that meters do not accurately measure the MC of wood material that is saturated with water. The meter indicates that the wood is saturated but does not really provide a valid estimate of how much water it contains.

Given the hygroscopic nature of wood, once it is removed from the stump, the wood is always changing moisture content to reach equilibrium with the surrounding atmosphere. In the fresh-cut condition, the weight of water can be greater than the weight of the wood substance in a piece of wood, but the instant the material is cut from the stump, the process of moving to equilibrium conditions begins. The *Wood Handbook* (USDA FS 1999) published tables showing average equilibrium MC at various locations in the United States. Users of these tables should be aware that there is a difference between how wood scientists interested in forest products and engineers concerned with energy relationships calculate MC of wood material.

Owing to the ever-changing moisture content of the material to equilibrium conditions, wood technologists calculate moisture content as a percentage of the OD condition. This condition is absolute and a piece of wood can repeatedly be returned to it. Engineers concerned with energy values use the original, initial sample (total or green weight) as the basis for determining MC. In all manipulations of MC, users are reminded that the OD condition may be repeatedly obtained. The green condition is a function of many factors and is often referenced to such terms as “the living tree,” “fresh cut,” or “undried.” In the living tree, there is usually a major difference between wood from the sapwood and heartwood portions of the tree. In the commerce of the forest products industry, the term “fresh cut” implies that the material has recently been harvested and with minimal delays transported to a mill where the amount harvested and delivered is based on weight at the stated condition. In cold climates, “fresh weight” may include ice and snow, and in any climate, it may include contamination in the form of dirt, mud, and rocks. Given an awareness of all of these problems, the editors have used an MC of 50 percent (TW or green basis) as the best estimate for the original condition soon after harvest. Depending on the time of year, the MC of chips, sawdust, and bark, singularly or in combination, may be above or below the 50 percent green basis MC, depending on exposure to rain or snow. Therefore, a piece of wood that has an MC of 100 percent (OD basis) is considered to have an MC of 50 percent (TW or green basis). The formulas for calculating MC by the two methods are shown in table 1 of the text (equations (2) and (3)). Once calculated, an MC value from one

basis can be converted to the alternative basis using equations (4) and (5) in table 1.

In a similar manner, these equations can be manipulated so the material weights at any stated MC can be adjusted to some other desired MC. Frequently, engineers working on the design of combustion systems want to convert OD weight basis values to TW or green values. To convert the weight of material from one MC basis to another, view the conversion as a two-stage process. First, if the material is not oven dry, convert it to the oven-dry condition.

If the starting MC is a percentage of OD weight, then the formula is:

$$\text{OD weight} = \text{weight at the specified MC} / (1 + \text{percentage MC at OD basis} / 100) \quad (7)$$

If the starting MC is a percentage of green weight, then the formula is:

$$\text{OD weight} = \text{weight at the specified MC} \times (1 - \text{percentage MC at wet weight} / 100) \quad (8)$$

For example, assume you have 100 lb of material at an MC of 25 percent (OD basis). Equation (7) can then be used to calculate an OD weight of 80 lb ( $100 / (1 + 25/100)$ ). Likewise, for 100 lb of material at 20 percent MC (wet or green basis), equation (8) can be used to calculate an OD weight of 80 lb ( $100 \times (1 - 20/100)$ ). Once the OD weight is calculated, equation (8) can be used to calculate the weight of the sample at any other moisture content.

Ash, a residual material left after burning, results from inorganic compounds that remain after high-temperature combustion takes place. Such chemicals include calcium, potassium, magnesium, manganese, and silicon. Ash content is usually reported as a percentage of the oven-dry weight of wood. The formula for converting from dry basis to green basis is almost exactly the same as that for moisture content. Equations (7) and (8) can be used to convert ash content at a specified MC to a second MC. Note that the weight of the inorganic material is constant, regardless of the MC. Thus, as MC changes, it is the gain or loss of water and not the basic chemical composition of the wood that changes. If the ash content of an oven-dry sample of 10 lb of wood is 1.8 percent (0.018), then the ash resulting from burning will be 0.18 lb ( $10 \text{ lb} \times 0.018$ ). If the ash content is desired in relation to some other MC, use equations (7) and (8) to calculate the weight of the 10-lb sample at the second MC. If ash content is desired in relation to the green condition, assumed as 50 percent MC (TW or green basis) or 100 percent MC (OD basis), then the green weight of the sample increases to 20 lb (increase in weight is all water) and the new percentage is 0.18 lb divided by 20 lb (0.009 or 0.9 percent ash).

Conversions made in this updated report were based on the above information. In tables 3, 4, 9, and 10, we reported MC in both the original OD basis and the converted TW or green basis, assumed as an MC of 50 percent TW or 100 percent dry basis. The conversions were made by using equation (5) in table 1. In the calculated green basis value, we carried the same number of decimal places as the original report. All other data are reported as per the original publication.

Tables 5 and 11 show the heating values and ash contents using units reported in the original publication. Tables 6 and 12 show the heating values converted to the metric or International System of units. Values originally reported in metric units were not changed. Ash contents were converted from OD basis to wet basis based on the discussion above.

Likewise, tables 7 and 13 show the higher heating values and ash contents using the units reported in the original publication. Tables 8 and 14 show the higher heating values converted to SI units and ash content based on wet weight. To make the conversion for the higher heating value by volume, we assumed that a cord is a pile of wood 4 ft by 4 ft by 8 ft that includes wood, bark, and air. Thus, one cord contains 128 ft<sup>3</sup> of stacked roundwood, which is about 90 ft<sup>3</sup> of solid material. If a cord is converted to stacked meters, then this converts to about 2.55 m<sup>3</sup> of solid material. Thus, the conversion from British thermal units per air-dried cord to megajoules per cubic meter was made by multiplying the original value by 1,054.35 and dividing by 2.55 (or simply multiplying by 413.47).

The word “clean” can be used to describe residual products (chips, sawdust, bark, and hog fuel) that have been moved (blown or conveyed) from the production process to vans or railcars for transport or a clean asphalt or cement pad for temporary storage. If the material is in temporary storage, dedicated equipment (e.g., dozers, loaders) that is kept completely free of mud, rocks, and dirt is used to handle the material and prevent contamination. Energy systems may have less stringent material requirements than the pulp and paper industry, but most of the basic rules of the pulp and paper industry apply. It is observed that many firms interested in converting to renewable energy systems have initially focused on the burning technology, only to discover during the startup operation that most of the problems are associated with fuel characteristics and handling. The major problems with burning biomass material are contamination and moisture not associated with the basic MC and ash content of the material, but resulting from poor handling, storage, and delivery to the burning site.



**Pacific Northwest Research Station**

<b>Web site</b>	<a href="http://www.fs.fed.us/pnw">http://www.fs.fed.us/pnw</a>
<b>Telephone</b>	(503) 808-2592
<b>Publication requests</b>	(503) 808-2138
<b>FAX</b>	(503) 808-2130
<b>E-mail</b>	<a href="mailto:pnw_pnwpubs@fs.fed.us">pnw_pnwpubs@fs.fed.us</a>
<b>Mailing address</b>	Publications Distribution Pacific Northwest Research Station P.O. Box 3890 Portland, OR 97208-3890

---

U.S. Department of Agriculture  
Pacific Northwest Research Station  
333 S.W. First Avenue  
P.O. Box 3890  
Portland, OR 97208-3890

---

Official Business  
Penalty for Private Use, \$300